arXiv:1210.6924v1 [math.CO] 25 Oct 2012

Rainbow numbers for graphs with cyclomatic number at most two

Ingo Schiermeyer [*]
Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Algebra
Technische Universität Bergakademie Freiberg
09596 Freiberg, Germany
Ingo.Schiermeyer@tu-freiberg.de

Roman Soták[†]
Institute of Mathematics
P.J. Šafárik University in Košice
04001 Košice, Slovakia
Roman.Sotak@upjs.sk

October 24, 2012

Abstract

For a given graph H and $n \geq 1$, let $f(n, H)$ denote the maximum number m for which it is possible to colour the edges of the complete graph K_n with m colours in such a way that each subgraph H in K_n has at least two edges of the same colour. Equivalently, any edge-colouring of K_n with at least $rb(n, H) = f(n, H)+1$ colours contains a rainbow copy of H. The numbers $f(n, H)$ and $rb(K_n, H)$ are called *anti-ramsey numbers* and *rainbow numbers*, respectively.

In this paper we will classify the rainbow number for a given graph H with respect to its cyclomatic number. Let H be a graph of order $p \geq 4$ and cyclomatic number $v(H) \geq 2$. Then $rb(K_n, H)$ cannot be bounded from above by a function which is linear in n. If H has cyclomatic number $v(H) = 1$, then $rb(K_n, H)$ is linear in n.

We will compute all rainbow numbers for the bull B, which is the unique graph with 5 vertices and degree sequence $(1, 1, 2, 3, 3)$. Furthermore, we will compute some rainbow numbers for the diamond $D = K_4 - e$, for $K_{2,3}$, and for the house $H = \overline{P_5}$.

1 Introduction

We use [2] for terminology and notation not defined here and consider finite and simple graphs only. If K_n is edge-coloured in a given way and a subgraph H contains no two edges of the same colour, then H will be called a totally multicoloured (TMC) or rainbow subgraph of K_n and we shall say that K_n contains a TMC or rainbow H. For a graph H and an integer n, let $f(n, H)$ denote the maximum number of colours in an edge-colouring of K_n with no

---

[*] Part of this research was performed while the author was visiting UPJŠ within the project "Research and Education at UPJŠ - aiming towards excellent European universities (EXPERT) under the contract number ITM 26110230056"

[†] This work was supported by the Slovak Science and Technology Assistance Agency under the contract APVV-0023-10 and Slovak VEGA grant 1/0652/12.

TMC H. The numbers $f(n,H)$ are called *anti-ramsey numbers* and have been introduced by Erdős, Simonovits and Sós [4].

We now define $rb(n,H)$ as the minimum number of colours such that any edge-colouring of K_n with at least $rb(n,H) = f(n,H)+1$ colours contains a TMC or rainbow subgraph isomorphic to H. The numbers $rb(n,H)$ will be called *rainbow numbers.*

For a given family $\mathcal{H}$ of finite graphs $ext(n,\mathcal{H}) =: max\{|E(G)| \mid H \not\subset G \text{ if } H \in \mathcal{H}, |V(G)| = n\}$, that is, let $ext(n,\mathcal{H})$ be the maximum number of edges a graph G of order n can have if it has no subgraph from $\mathcal{H}$. The graphs attaining the maximum for a given n are called extremal graphs. The numbers $ext(n,\mathcal{H})$ are called *Turán numbers* [13].

For a given graph H, let $\mathcal{H}$ be the family of all graphs which are obtained by deleting one edge from H. If G is a graph of order n which does not contain any member of $\mathcal{H}$ as a subgraph, then a TMC copy of G and one extra colour for all remaining edges (of K_n) has no TMC subgraph H. Hence, $f(n,H) \geq ext(n,\mathcal{H})+1$. Moreover, if we take a rainbow subgraph with $ext(n,H)+1$ edges, then it contains a rainbow subgraph isomorphic to H. Hence (cf. [4])

$$ext(n,\mathcal{H}) + 2 \leq f(n,H) + 1 = rb(n,H) \leq ext(n,H) + 1. \tag{1}$$

The lower bound is sharp for some graph classes. This has been shown if H is a complete graph on $k \geq 3$ vertices in [10, 12] and if H is a matching with k edges and $n \geq 2k+1$ in [5, 12].

Erdős, Simonovits and Sós [4] showed that $f(n,H)/\binom{n}{2} \to 1 - \frac{1}{d}$ as $n \to \infty$, where $d+1 = min\{\chi(H-e) \mid e \in E(H)\}$, and that $f(n,H) - ext(n,\mathcal{H}) = o(n^2)$. Hence the rainbow numbers are asymptotically known if $min\{\chi(H-e) \mid e \in E(H)\} \geq 3$. If $min\{\chi(H-e) \mid e \in E(H)\} \leq 2$, then the situation is quite different.

For cycles the following result (which has been conjectured by Erdős, Simonovits and Sós [4]) has been shown by Montellano-Ballesteros and Neumann-Lara [11].

Theorem 1 *[11] Let* $n \geq k \geq 3$. *Then* $rb(n, C_k) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k-1} \rfloor \binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{r}{2} + \lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \rceil$, *where* r *is the residue of* n *modulo* $k-1$.

Gorgol [6] has considered a cycle C_k with a pendant edge, denoted C_k^+, and computed all rainbow numbers.

Theorem 2 *[6]*
$rb(n, C_k^+) = rb(n, C_k)$, *for* $n \geq k+1$.

However, if we add two (or more) edges to a cycle C_k, the situation becomes surprisingly interesting.

2 Rainbow numbers and cyclomatic number

Before presenting our main results we introduce some additional notation (similar as in [9]), which will be used frequently in the proofs.

For a set C of colours let $\Gamma : E(G) \to C(G)$ be an edge-colouring of G and let F be a subgraph of G. $\Gamma[F]$ will denote the image of Γ restricted to the set $E(F)$, and F will be called *rainbow*, if no pair of edges of F receive the same colour., i.e. $|E(F)| = |\Gamma[F]|$. Given $w \in V(F)$, let $S(w, F)$ be the set of colours $c \in \Gamma(F)$ such that for every $e \in E(F)$, if $\Gamma(E) = c$ then e is incident to the vertex w. If $F \cong G$, then we will write $S(w)$. Observe that for every $w \in V(F)$, if $c \in S(w, F)$ then

$$c \notin \Gamma[F \setminus \{w\}] \text{ and } |\Gamma[F \setminus \{w\}]| = |\Gamma[F]| - |S(w, F)|. \tag{2}$$

For each colour i let $E_i = \{e \in E(G) | c(e) = i\}$. If $i \in S(v)$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then i is called a *unique colour at vertex v*. Let $C^* = \cup_{v \in V(G)} S(v)$ be the set of all unique colours. So $G[E_i] \cong K_{1,|E_i|}$ for each $i \in C^*$.

The *cyclomatic number* $v(G)$ of a connected graph G is defined as $v(G) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + 1$ and measures, how many edges have to be deleted from a given graph G in order to make it acyclic.

Theorem 3 *Let H be a graph of order $p \geq 4$ and cyclomatic number $v(H) \geq 2$. Then $rb(K_n, H)$ cannot be bounded from above by a function which is linear in n.*

For the proof of this Theorem we apply the following Theorem of Erdős.

Theorem 4 *(Erdős [3])*
For every pair of integers $g \geq 4, k \geq 3$ there is a graph with girth at least g and with chromatic number at least k.

Proof: Choose $g = p + 1$ and k arbitrarily. Then by Theorem 4 there is a graph F with girth $g \geq p + 1$ and $\chi(F) \geq k + 1$. We may assume $\delta(F) \geq k$, since otherwise we could delete vertices of degree $\leq k - 1$. For $t \geq 1$ let F_t be the graph consisting of t pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of F. Let $n = t|V(F)|$ and choose a copy of $F_t \subset K_n$. Colour all edges of F_t distinct and all remaining edges with another colour c^*. Then $|C(K_n)| \geq \frac{kn}{2} + 1 > \frac{k}{2} \cdot n$. Since F_t has girth $g > p$ and all cycles in H have length at most p, we have to delete at least two edges from H in order to make it acyclic. Hence in every copy of H at least two edges have the same colour c^* and so $rb(K_n, H) \geq \frac{kn}{2} + 2$. □

If $v(H) = 1$, then the situation is quite different. If H has cyclomatic number $v(H) = 1$, then we can show an upper bound for $rb(K_n, H)$, which is linear in n. A lower bound for those rainbow number depends on the length of the unique cycle of H. Therefore we will prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 5 *Let H be a graph of order $p \geq 5$ and cyclomatic number $v(H) = 1$. If H contains a cycle with k vertices, $3 \leq k \leq p-2$, then*

$$\lfloor \frac{n}{k-1} \rfloor \binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{r}{2} + \lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \rceil \leq rb(K_n, H) \leq (p-2)n - p \cdot \frac{p-3}{2},$$

where $n \geq p$ and r is the residue of n modulo $k-1$.

Proof: The lower bound is given by Theorem 1. Our proof for the upper bound is by induction on the order n. Let $G \cong K_n$ with $|C(G)| = (p-2)n - p \cdot \frac{p-3}{2}$.

If $n = p$, then $(p-2)p - p \cdot \frac{p-3}{2} = \binom{p}{2}$. Clearly, if all $\binom{p}{2}$ edges are coloured distinct, there is a rainbow copy of H. So we may assume that $n \geq p+1$. If there is a vertex v with $|S(v)| \leq p-2$, then we apply induction. Hence we may assume that $|S(v)| \geq p-1$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. By Theorem 1 G contains a rainbow cycle C_k. Now we can extend this cycle C_k to a copy of H by properly choosing $p-k$ additional vertices, since $|S(v)| \geq p-1$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. □

3 Rainbow numbers for the bull

Let B be the unique graph with 5 vertices and degree sequence $(1, 1, 2, 3, 3)$, which is called the *bull*. By Theorem 5 we obtain $n \leq rb(K_n, B) \leq 3n - 5$. Here we have been able to compute all rainbow numbers for the bull.

Theorem 6 $rb(5, B) = 6$ *and* $rb(n, B) = n + 2$ *for* $n \geq 6$.

Proposition 1 $rb(K_5, B) = 6$.

Proof: Let $G \cong K_5$ and choose a K_3 and a K_2, which are vertex disjoint. Colour the four included edges with four distinct colours and all remaining edges with a fifth colour. Then G contains no rainbow bull. So consider an edge colouring of G with six colours. With $rb(K_5, K_3) = 5$ we conclude that G contains a rainbow K_3. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1 G contains a rainbow K_4

Let w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 be the vertices of the K_4, whose six edges are coloured with colours $1, 2, \ldots, 6$. Let w_5 be the fifth vertex and consider an edge incident with w_5, say w_5w_1. Then $c(w_1w_5) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$ and we always find a rainbow bull.

Case 2 G contains a rainbow $K_4 - e$, but no rainbow K_4

Let w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 be the vertices of the $K_4 - e$, whose five edges are coloured with colours $1, 2, \ldots, 5$. Then there is an edge w_5w_i for some $1 \leq i \leq 4$ with $c(w_5w_i) = 6$ and we always find a rainbow bull.

Case 3 G contains a rainbow K_3, but no rainbow $K_4 - e$

Let w_1, w_2, w_3 be the vertices of the rainbow K_3, whose edges are coloured with the three colours $1,2,3$, and let w_4, w_5 be the two other vertices. If (i.e.) $c(w_1w_4) = 4$ and $c(w_2w_5) = 5$, then there is rainbow bull. Repeating these arguments we either find a rainbow bull or conclude that $c(w_4w_1) = 4, c(w_5w_1) = 5, c(w_4w_5) = 6$. Now any colour from $\{1,2,\ldots,6\}$ for an edge w_iw_j for $2 \leq i \leq 3$ and $4 \leq j \leq 5$ yields a rainbow bull. $\square$

Lemma 1 *$rb(K_n, B) \geq n+2$ for all $n \geq 6$.*

Proof: Let r be the remainder of n modulo 3. Then $n = 3(\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - r) + 4r$. Now choose a collection of pairwise vertex disjoint $(\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - r)$ C_3's and r C_4's and colour all their edges with n distinct colours and all remaining edges of K_n with another colour. Then G contains no rainbow bull. $\square$

Proposition 2 *$rb(K_6, B) = 8$.*

Proof: Let the edges of K_6 be coloured with 8 colours. If $|S(v)| \leq 2$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then there is a rainbow bull in $G - v$ by induction. Hence we may assume $|S(v)| \geq 3$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then $|C| \geq \lceil \frac{6 \cdot 3}{2} \rceil = 9$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proposition 3 *$rb(K_n, B) = rb(K_{n-1}, B) + 1$ for all $n \geq 7$.*

Proof: Let $G \cong K_n$ with $|C(G)| = rb(K_{n-1}, B) + 1$. If $|S(v)| \leq 1$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then there is a rainbow bull in $G - v$ by induction. Hence we may assume

$$|S(v)| \geq 2 \text{ for every } v \in V(G). \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2 *$|S(v)| = 2$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$.*

Proof: Suppose $|S(w)| \geq 3$ for a vertex $w \in V(G)$. Let $c(ww_i) = i \in C^*$ for three vertices w_1, w_2, w_3. If $c(w_iw_j) = p$ for some $i,j \in \{1,2,3\}$ with $i \neq j$ and $p \notin \{1,2,3\}$, then $c(w_iu) = c(w_ju) = p$ for all $u \in V \setminus \{w, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$, otherwise we would have a rainbow bull generated by w, w_1, w_2, w_3, u. As $V \setminus \{w, w_1, w_2, w_3\} \neq \emptyset$, we conclude that $c(w_1w_2) = c(w_1u) = c(w_2u) = c(w_2w_3) = c(w_1w_3) = p$. Then $S(w_1) \subseteq \{1\}$, contradicting (3). $\square$

Let $c_1 = |\{i \in C^* || E_i| = 1\}|, c_2 = |\{i \in C^* || E_i| \geq 2\}|$ and $c_3 = |C| - (c_1 + c_2)$. Suppose $|C| = n + 2$. Then by Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_1 + c_2 &= 2n \qquad (4) \\ c_1 + c_2 + c_3 &= n + 2 \qquad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 *Let $H \subset G$ be a subgraph with $V(H) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and $c(w_iw_{i+1}) = i$ (indices taken mod 3) for $i = 1, 2, 3$. If $|E_i| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and G contains no rainbow bull, then there is some $k \in C \setminus \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $c(e) = k$ for all edges $e = uw$ with $u \in V(H), w \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$.*

Proof: Choose a vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$. Then $c(w_1u) = k$ for some $k \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$, say $k = 4$. Since there is no rainbow bull we conclude first that $c(vw_i) = 4$ for all vertices $v \in V(G) \setminus (V(H) \cup \{u\})$ and $i = 2, 3$, then $c(uw_i) = 4$ for $i = 2, 3$, and finally $c(vw_1) = 4$ for all vertices $v \in V(G) \setminus (V(H) \cup \{u\})$. □

Lemma 4 *Let $H \subset G$ be a subgraph with $V(H) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ and $c(w_iw_{i+1}) = i$ (indices taken mod 4) for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. If $|E_i| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and G contains no rainbow bull, then there is some $k \in C \setminus \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $c(e) = k$ for all edges $e = uw$ with $u \in V(H), w \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$, and $c(w_1w_3) = c(w_2w_4) = k$.*

Proof: Choose a vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$. Then $c(w_1u) = k$ for some $k \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, say $k = 5$. Since there is no rainbow bull we conclude first that $c(w_2w_4) = 5$, then $c(vw_i) = 5$ for all vertices $v \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$ and $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and finally $c(w_1w_3) = 5$. □

Lemma 5 *For $k \geq 5$ let $H = P_k \subset G$ be a subgraph with $V(H) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ and $c(w_iw_{i+1}) = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. If $|E_i| = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, then G contains a rainbow bull.*

Proof: We consider the edge w_2w_4. By the assumption $c(w_2w_4) \neq c(w_iw_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Hence $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}$ generate a rainbow bull. □

Case 1 $c_1 = n, c_2 = 0, c_3 = 2$
Let H be the graph induced by all colours i with $|E_i| = 1$. So $|E(H)| = n$. Since $|S(v)| = 2$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and by Lemma 5 we conclude that H is a collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint 3-cycles and 4-cycles. Moreover, $n \geq 7$, so with Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we conclude $|C| = n + 1 < n + 2$, a contradiction.

Case 2 $c_1 = n - 1, c_2 = 2, c_3 = 1$
Let H be the graph induced by all colours i with $|E_i| = 1$. So $|E(H)| = n-1$. Since $|S(v)| = 2$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and by Lemma 5 we conclude that H is a collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint 3-cycles and 4-cycles and a path P_k for some $1 \leq k \leq 4$. For $k = 1$ using Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we obtain $|C| = n - 1 + 1 = n < n + 2$, a contradiction. Hence we may assume that $2 \leq k \leq 4$. Let $w_1, \dots, w_k$ be the vertices of the path with edges w_iw_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Suppose G contains no rainbow bull. By Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 there is a colour p such that $c(w_iv) = p$ for all edges w_iv with $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $v \in V(G) \setminus V(P_k)$. Hence $p \notin S(w_1) \cup S(w_k)$. With $|S(w_1)| = |S(w_k)| = 2$ and $c(w_1w_k) \notin C_1$ we conclude that $k = 4$. Furthermore, using $c_2 = 2$, we obtain $c(w_1w_3) = c(w_1w_4)$ and $c(w_1w_4) = c(w_2w_4)$, a contradiction. □

Case 3 $c_1 = n-2, c_2 = 4, c_3 = 0$
Let $|E_i| \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $i \in C^*$.

Claim 1 $\sum_{i=1}^{4} |E(i)| \leq 4n - 12$

Proof: First suppose that there are two vertices $w_1, w_2 \in V(G)$ such that $1, 2 \in S(w_1)$ and $3, 4 \in S(w_2)$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{4} |E(i)| \leq 2(n-2) + 1 = 2n - 3 < 4n - 12$ for $n \geq 7$.

Suppose next that there are three vertices $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in V(G)$ such that $1, 2 \in S(w_1), 3 \in S(w_2), 4 \in S(w_3)$. Now w_2 is incident with some edge e with $c(e) \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, thus $\sum_{i=1}^{4} |E(i)| \leq 3(n-3) + 3 - 1 = 3n - 7 < 4n - 12$ for $n \geq 7$.

Finally suppose that there are four vertices $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 \in V(G)$ such that $i \in S(w_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Now each w_i is incident with some edge e_i with $c(e_i) \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, thus $\sum_{i=1}^{4} |E(i)| \leq 4(n-4) + 6 - \frac{4}{2} = 4n - 12$. □

Now we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E(G)| = \binom{n}{2} &\leq (n-2) + 4n - 12 && (6) \\ \Leftrightarrow n^2 - 11n + 28 &\leq 0 && (7) \\ \Rightarrow 4 \leq n &\leq 7 && (8) \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption ($n \geq 7$) we consider the case $n = 7$. Moreover, if $\sum_{i=1}^{4} |E(i)| < 4n - 12$, then $n < 7$, a contradiction.

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{4} |E(i)| = 4n - 12$ and $c_1 = 5$ and $c_2 = 4$. Following the previous arguments there are vertices $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_7$ with $w_1w_2, w_3w_4, w_5w_6, w_6w_7, w_5w_7 \in E(G)$ and $c(w_1w_2) = 5, c(w_3w_4) = 6, c(w_5w_6) = 7, c(w_6w_7) = 8, c(w_5w_7) = 9$ such that $i \in C^*$ for $5 \leq i \leq 9$. By Lemma 3 we conclude that there is only one colour among all edges w_iw_j for $1 \leq i \leq 4$ and $5 \leq j \leq 7$. But we have $c_3 = 0$, a contradiction. □ □□

4 Rainbow numbers for the diamond

We now consider the graph $D = K_4 - e$, which is called the *diamond.* This graph contains a C_3 and has cyclomatic number $v(D) = 2$.

In [8] projective planes have been used to construct an infinite family of graphs with girth 6 (i.e. $\{C_3, C_4, C_5\}$-free) having $\Omega(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$ edges. This means that $ext(n, \{C_3, C_4, C_5\}) = \Omega(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$ and so by (2) we deduce

Corollary 1 $rb(K_n, D) = \Omega(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$.

Montellano-Ballesteros [9] has shown an upper bound for the rainbow number of the diamond.

Theorem 7 *[9] For every* $n \geq 4$,

$$ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\}) + 2 \leq rb(K_n, D) \leq ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\}) + (n + 1). \tag{9}$$

For the extremal numbers $ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\})$ the following bounds have been shown in [8].

Theorem 8 $ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\}) \leq \frac{1}{2} n\sqrt{n-1}$.

Theorem 9

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \leq lim\ inf_{n\to\infty} \frac{ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\})}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq lim\ sup_{n\to\infty} \frac{ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\})}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

By (9) we obtain

Corollary 2 $rb(K_n, D) \leq \frac{1}{2} n\sqrt{n-1} + (n + 1)$.

Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 give

Corollary 3 $rb(K_n, D) = \Theta(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$.

With Theorem 7 and Theorem 9 we obtain

Corollary 4

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \leq lim\ inf_{n\to\infty} \frac{rb(K_n, D)}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq lim\ sup_{n\to\infty} \frac{rb(K_n, D)}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In the following we will compute the rainbow numbers $rb(K_n, D)$ for $4 \leq n \leq 10$. It turns out that these values are all equal to the lower bound in (9). The extremal numbers $ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\}$ have been computed in [8]. Here we list the values for $4 \leq n \leq 16$.

n	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\}$	3	5	6	8	10	12	15	16	18	21	23	26	28

Theorem 10 $rb(K_n, D) = ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\}) + 2$ *for* $4 \leq n \leq 10$.

Corollary 5 $ext(n, \{K_{1,3} + e, C_4\}) = ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\})$ *for* $4 \leq n \leq 10$.

Proof: $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}) = \{K_{1,3} + e, C_4\}$ and so $ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\}) + 2 \leq ext(n, \{K_{1,3} + e, C_4\}) + 2 \leq rb(K_n, D) = ext(n, \{C_3, C_4\}) + 2$. □

In the proof of Theorem 10 we will use the following helpful Lemma.

Lemma 6 $rb(K_n, D) \leq rb(K_n, K_{2,3})$ *for all* $n \geq 5$.

Proof: Let K_n be edge coloured and let F be a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ with vertices $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_5$ and edges w_iw_j for $1 \leq i \leq 2, 3 \leq j \leq 5$. Let $c(w_1w_{i+2}) = i, c(w_2w_{i+2}) = i+3$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Now consider the edge w_1w_2. If $c(w_1w_2) = x$ with $x \in C \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, then $F + w_1w_2$ contains a rainbow D. Otherwise, if $c(w_1w_2) = c(e) \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ for an edge $e \in E(F)$, then $F + w_1w_2 - e$ contains a rainbow D. □

Proof: (of Theorem 10)
We will give a seperate proof for each value of $n, 4 \leq n \leq 10$.
$\mathbf{rb(K_4, D) = 5}$
Proof: Let the edges of K_4 be coloured with 5 colours. Choose 5 edges with distinct colours in K_4. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_5, D) = 7}$
Proof: Let the edges of K_5 be coloured with 7 colours. If $|S(v)| \leq 2$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then there is a rainbow diamond in $G - v$ by induction. Hence we may assume $|S(v)| \geq 3$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then $|C| \geq \lceil \frac{5 \cdot 3}{2} \rceil = 8$, a contradiction. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_6, D) = 8}$
Proof: Let the edges of K_6 be coloured with 8 colours and let F be a rainbow subgraph of K_6 with 8 edges. Since $rb(K_6, K_{1,4} + e) = 8$, (cf. [7]) we may assume that F contains a $K_{1,4}$. Suppose that F contains a $K_{1,5}$ with vertices $w, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5$ and edges ww_i for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Then $F[N(w)]$ contains three edges and hence F contains a diamond. So there is a rainbow diamond. So we may assume that F contains a $K_{1,4}$ (and no $K_{1,5}$) with vertices w, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 and edges ww_i for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Let w_5 be the sixth vertex with $w_5 \notin N_F(w)$. If $F[N(w)]$ contains at least three edges, then there is a rainbow diamond. If $d_F(w_5) \geq 3$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ and thus a rainbow diamond. So we may assume that $F[N(w)]$ contains exactly two edges, say w_1w_2, w_3w_4, and that $d_F(w_5) = 2$. If $w_5w_i, w_5w_{i+1} \in E(F)$ for $i = 1$ or $i = 3$, then there is a rainbow diamond. So we may assume that $w_5w_1, w_5w_3 \in E(F)$. Now consider the edge ww_5. Then either $\{w, w_1, w_2, w_5\}$ or $\{w, w_3, w_4, w_5\}$ generate a rainbow diamond. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_7, D) = 10}$
Proof: Let the edges of K_7 be coloured with 10 colours. If $|S(v)| \geq 3$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, then $|C| \geq \lceil \frac{7 \cdot 3}{2} \rceil = 11$, a contradiction. Hence there is a vertex $v \in V(G)$ with $|S(v)| \leq 2$. Then $G - v$ contains a rainbow diamond by induction. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_8, D) = 12}$
Proof: Let the edges of K_8 be coloured with 12 colours. If $|S(v)| \leq 2$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then there is a rainbow diamond in $G - v$ by induction. Hence we may assume $|S(v)| \geq 3$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. With $12 = |C| \geq \lceil \frac{8 \cdot 3}{2} \rceil = 12$ we deduce $|S(v)| = 3$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then there are four vertices $w, w_1, w_2, w_3 \in V(G)$ such that $c(ww_i) = i \in S(w)$ and $i \in C^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. If $c(w_1w_2) \neq c(w_1w_3)$ or $c(w_1w_2) \neq c(w_2w_3)$, then

there is rainbow diamond. Hence we may assume that $c(w_1w_2) = c(w_1w_3) = c(w_2w_3) = p$, say $p = 4$, and therefore $p \notin S(w_i)$. Since $|S(w_i)| = 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ we conclude that there are vertices $w_4, w_5, \ldots, w_9$ such that $w_1w_4, w_1w_5, w_2w_6, w_2w_7, w_3w_8, w_3w_9 \in E(G)$ and $c(w_1w_i) = i + 1$ for $4 \leq i \leq 5$, $c(w_2w_i) = i + 1$ for $6 \leq i \leq 7$, and $c(w_3w_i) = i + 1$ for $8 \leq i \leq 9$. Moreover, $\{w_4, w_5\}, \{w_6, w_7\}, \{w_8, w_9\}$ are pairwise vertex-disjoint, since otherwise w, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_i for a vertex $w_i, 4 \leq i \leq 9$, would create a rainbow diamond. But then $|V(G)| \geq 10$, a contradiction. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_9, D) = 14}$

Proof: Let the edges of K_9 be coloured with 14 colours. We can follow the proof for $rb(K_8, D) = 12$ in all steps and obtain the same final contradiction. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_{10}, D) = 17}$

Proof: Let the edges of K_{10} be coloured with 17 colours. If $|S(v)| \leq 3$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then there is a rainbow diamond in $G - v$ by induction. Hence we may assume $|S(v)| \geq 4$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then $|C| \geq \lceil \frac{10 \cdot 4}{2} \rceil = 20$, a contradiction. □ □□

5 Rainbow numbers for $K_{2,3}$

In [1] anti-ramsey numbers have been computed for small complete bipartite graphs.

Theorem 11 $f(K_n, K_{2,t}) = \frac{\sqrt{t-2}}{2} n^{\frac{3}{2}} + O(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$.

So we deduce

Corollary 6 $rb(K_n, K_{2,3}) = \Theta(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$.

We say that $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}$ generate a $K_{2,3}$, where $d(w_1) = d(w_2) = 3$ and $d(w_3) = d(w_4) = d(w_5) = 2$. Now we will compute the rainbow numbers $rb(K_n, K_{2,3})$ for $5 \leq n \leq 8$.

$\mathbf{rb(K_5, K_{2,3}) = 8}$

Proof: Consider a K_4 and a K_1, which are vertex disjoint. Colour the six edges of the K_4 distinct and all remaining edges with another colour. Then there is no rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence $rb(K_5, K_{2,3}) \geq 8$. Consider 8 edges of a K_5 with distinct colours. The induced graph is isomorphic to either $K_5 - P_3$ or $K_5 - 2K_2$. In both cases we find a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_6, K_{2,3}) = 10}$

Proof: Consider a K_4 with vertices w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, and let w_5, w_6 be the two other vertices. Colour all edges of the K_4 distinct with colours $1, 2, \ldots, 6$ and let $c(w_5w_6) = 7, c(w_5w_i) = 8, c(w_6w_i) = 9$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then there is no rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence $rb(K_6, K_{2,3}) \geq 10$.

Let the edges of K_6 be coloured with 10 colours and let F be a rainbow subgraph of K_6 with 10 edges. Since $rb(K_6, D) = 8$, there is a rainbow $K_4 - e$. If there is a rainbow K_4, then

$|N(v) \cap V(K_4)| \geq 2$ for a vertex v outside of the K_4 and we obtain a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that F contains a rainbow $K_4 - e$, but no rainbow K_4. Let w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 be the vertices of the $K_4 - e$ with edges $w_1w_2, w_2w_3, w_3w_4, w_4w_1, w_1w_3$. If $w_5w_i, w_5w_{i+2} \in E(F)$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq 2$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that $d_{K_4-e}(w_5) = d_{K_4-e}(w_6) = 2$ and $w_5w_6 \in E(F)$. Moreover, $N_{K_4-e}(w_5) = \{w_i, w_{i+1}\}$ and $N_{K_4-e}(w_6) = \{w_j, w_{j+1}\}$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$. If $j = i$ or $j = i + 2$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. So assume $j = i + 1$. If $i = 1, j = 2$ or $i = 3, j = 4$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence assume $i = 2, j = 3$. Then there is rainbow W_5 (wheel on six vertices). We relabel the vertices $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_6$ such that $w_iw_{i+1}, w_iw_6 \in E(F)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ ($i + 1$ modulo 5).

Let $F' = F + w_2w_5$. Then the three sets of vertices $V_1 = \{w_5, w_6, w_1, w_2, w_4\}$, $V_2 = \{w_2, w_6, w_1, w_3, w_5\}$, $V_3 = \{w_3, w_5, w_2, w_4, w_6\}$ each generate a $K_{2,3}$. Using V_1, V_2, V_3 we observe that $F' - e = F + w_2w_5 - e$ contains a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ for any edge $e \in E(F)$. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_7, K_{2,3}) = 12}$

Proof: Consider a K_3 and a K_4, which are vertex disjoint. Let the vertices of the K_3 be w_1, w_2, w_3, and the vertices of the K_4 be w_4, w_5, w_6, w_7. Colour all edges of the K_3 distinct with colours $1, 2, 3$ and all edges of the K_4 distinct with colours $4, 5, \ldots, 9$. Next let $c(w_1w_i) = 10, c(w_2w_i) = c(w_3w_i) = 11$ for $4 \leq i \leq 7$. Then G has no rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence $rb(K_7, K_{2,3}) \geq 12$.

Let the edges of K_7 be coloured with 12 colours and let F be a rainbow subgraph of K_7 with 12 edges such that $\Delta(F)$ is maximum. If $|S(v)| \leq 2$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then G has a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ by induction. Hence we may assume that $|S(v)| \geq 3$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. With $|E(F)| \geq \lceil \frac{3 \cdot 7}{2} \rceil = 11 > \frac{3 \cdot 7}{2}$ we deduce that $4 \leq \Delta(F) \leq 6$. We distinguish these three cases.

Case 1 $\Delta(F) = 6$

Let $w \in V(F)$ be a vertex with $d_F(w) = 6$. Since $|S(v)| \geq 3 = 2 + 1$ for all vertices $v \in V(F) \setminus \{w\}$, we conclude that $|C^*| = c_1 + c_2 \geq 6 + \frac{6 \cdot 2}{2} = 12$ and $2c_1 + c_2 \geq 6 + 6 \cdot 3 = 24$ implying $c_1 = 12, c_2 = 0$. But then $c_3 \geq 1$, since $|E(K_7)| = 21 > 12$, and so $|C| \geq 13$, a contradiction. □

Case 2 $\Delta(F) = 5$

Let $w_1 \in V(F)$ be a vertex with $d_F(w_1) = 5$ and let $w_2, \ldots, w_6$ be its neighbours. Let w_7 be the seventh vertex. With $|S(w)| \geq 3$ we obtain a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ with vertices $\{w_1, w_7, x, y, z\}$, where $x, y, z \in \{w_2, \ldots, w_6\}$. □

Case 3 $\Delta(F) = 4$

We first show that there is a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $|S(v)| \geq 4$.

Suppose that $|S(v)| = 3$ for all vertices $v \in V(G)$. Then $2c_1 + c_2 = 21$ and $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 12$ implying $c_1 - c_3 \geq 9$. This gives $c_1 \geq 9$ and so $c_2 \leq 3$. Thus there is a vertex $w \in V(G)$ with $S(w) \subset C_1$. Since $\Delta(F) = 4$ exactly three edges incident with w are coloured with a colour from C_3 which implies $c_1 = 10, c_2 = c_3 = 1$. So there are vertices $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in V(G)$ such that $c(w_1w_2) = c(w_1w_3) = i \in C_2$. Now $S(w_2) \subset C_1$ and hence there are two edges incident with w_2 which have a colour different from $S(w_2) \cup \{i\}$. But then $\Delta(F) \geq 5$, a contradiction.

Let $w_1 \in V(F)$ be a vertex with $d_F(w_1) = 4$ and let $w_2, \ldots, w_5$ be its neighbours. Let w_6, w_7 be the remaining vertices. If $|N_F(w_i) \cap N_F(w_1)| \geq 3$ for a vertex w_i with $i \in \{6, 7\}$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ as in the previous case. Hence we may assume that $d_F(w_6) = d_F(w_7) = 3$ and $w_6w_7 \in E(F)$. Let $w_2w_6, w_3w_6 \in E(F)$. If $w_2w_7, w_3w_7 \in E(F)$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that $w_2w_7 \notin E(F)$. With $|S(w_2)| \geq 3$ there is an edge w_2w_i for some $3 \leq i \leq 5$ with $c(w_2w_i) = p \in C^*$. If $i \in \{4, 5\}$, then $c(w_3w_i) \notin \{c(w_1w_2), c(w_1w_3), c(w_2w_6), c(w_2w_7), c(w_2w_i), \}$ and so $\{w_2, w_3, w_1, w_i, w_6\}$ form a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Otherwise we have $N_F(w_7) \cap N_F(w_1) = \{w_4, w_5\}$ and $c(w_2w_3) = p, c(w_4w_5) = q$ for some $p \neq q$ with $p, q \in C_1$. Then $c(w_iw_j) = r$ for some $r \in C_3$ for $2 \leq i \leq 3, 4 \leq j \leq 5$. Now observe that the four edges $w_6w_i, 2 \leq i \leq 5$, are coloured with exactly two distinct colours. If $c(w_6w_4) = c(w_6w_2)$, then $\{w_3, w_4, w_1, w_2, w_6\}$ form a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. If $c(w_6w_4) = c(w_6w_3)$, then $\{w_2, w_4, w_1, w_3, w_6\}$ form a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. □ □□

$\mathbf{rb(K_8, K_{2,3}) = 14}$

The proof is given in the appendix.

6 Rainbow numbers for the house

The complement $\overline{P_5}$ of the graph P_5 is known as the *house* H. Then

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}) = \{C_5, C_4^+, B, Z_2\},$$

where Z_2 is the graph consisting of a K_3 with an attached path with two edges.

Next observe that $C_3 \subset B, C_3 \subset Z_2, C_4 \subset C_4^+$ and therefore $ext(n, \{C_3, C_4, C_5\}) \leq ext(n, \{C_5, C_4^+, B, Z_2\})$. In [8] projective planes have been used to construct an infinite family of graphs with girth 6 (i.e. $\{C_3, C_4, C_5\}$-free) having $\Omega(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$ edges. So we deduce

Corollary 7 *$rb(K_n, H) = \Omega(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$.*

In order to show an upper bound we now show

Theorem 12 *$rb(K_n, H) \leq rb(K_n, K_{2,4})$ for all $n \geq 6$.*

Proof: Let K_n be edge coloured and let F be a rainbow $K_{2,4}$ with vertices $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_6$ and edges w_iw_j for $1 \leq i \leq 2, 3 \leq j \leq 6$. Let $c(w_1w_{i+2}) = i, c(w_2w_{i+2}) = i + 4$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Now consider the edge w_3w_4. If $c(w_3w_4) = x$ with $x \in C \setminus \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\}$, then $F + e$ contains a rainbow H. If $c(w_3w_4) = c(e) \in \{3, 4, 7, 8\}$ for an edge $e \in E(F)$, then $F + w_3w_4 - e$ contains a rainbow H. If $c(w_3w_4) = c(e) \in \{1, 2, 5, 6\}$ for an edge $e \in E(F)$, then $F + w_3w_4 - e$ contains a rainbow H.

□

Corollary 7, Theorem 11 and Theorem 12 yield

Theorem 13 $rb(K_n, H) = \Theta(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$.

Now we will compute the rainbow numbers $rb(K_n, H)$ for $5 \leq n \leq 8$.

Lemma 7 $rb(K_{4+i}, H) \geq 8 + \binom{i}{2}$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

Proof: Consider a K_{4+i} and take a K_4 and a K_i, which are vertex disjoint. Colour the $\binom{4}{2} + \binom{i}{2}$ included edges distinct and all remaining edges with a new colour. Then there is no rainbow H and hence $rb(K_{4+i}) \geq ((\binom{4}{2} + \binom{i}{2} + 1) + 1 = 8 + \binom{i}{2}$. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_5, H) = 8}$

Proof: Consider 8 edges with distinct colours. The induced graph is isomorphic to either $K_5 - P_3$ or $K_5 - 2K_2$. In both cases we find a rainbow H. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_6, H) = 9}$

Proof: Let the edges of K_6 be coloured with 9 colours and let F be a rainbow subgraph of K_6 with 9 edges. Since $rb(K_6, C_5) = 9, F$ contains a rainbow C_5. Let $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_5$ be the vertices of the C_5 with edges $w_i w_{i+1}$ and colours $c(w_i w_{i+1}) = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

If $c(e) \in \{6, 7, 8, 9\}$ for a chord e of the C_5, then there is a rainbow H. Hence we may assume that $c(e) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 5\}$ for all chords e of the C_5. Let w_6 be the sixth vertex. Then $d_F(w_6) = 4$. So we may assume that $w_6 w_i \in E(F)$ with $c(w_6 w_i) = 5 + i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then we always find a rainbow H. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_7, H) = 11}$

Proof: Let the edges of K_7 be coloured with 11 colours and let F be a rainbow subgraph of K_7 with 11 edges. We follow the proof for $n = 6$ and consider the C_5. Let w_6 and w_7 be the two other vertices. If $d_{C_5}(w_i) \geq 4$ for $i = 6$ or $i = 7$, then there is a rainbow house. Hence we may assume that $d_{C_5} \leq 3$ for $i = 6, 7$. If (i.e.) $d_{C_5}(w_6) = 3$ and $w_6 w_i, w_6 w_{i+1}, w_6 w_{i+3} \in E(F)$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq 5$, then there is a rainbow house. So we may assume that $N_{C_5}(w_6) = \{w_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}\}, N_{C_5}(w_7) = \{w_j, w_{j+1}, w_{j+2}\}$ for some $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$, if $d_{C_5}(w_6) = 3$ or $d_{C_5}(w_7) = 3$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1 $d_{C_5}(w_6) = d_{C_5}(w_7) = 3$

We have $N_{C_5}(w_6) = \{w_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}\}$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq 5$. If $N_{C_5}(w_7) = \{w_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}\}$ or $N_{C_5}(w_7) = \{w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, w_{i+3}\}$, then there is a rainbow house. So we may assume that $N_{C_5}(w_7) = \{w_{i+2}, w_{i+3}, w_{i+4}\}$. Then $c(w_6 w_7)$ has the same (repeated) colour as an edge from F. Now observe that the graph $F + w_6 w_7 - e$ contains a copy of H for any edge $e \in E(F)$. Therefore, G contains a rainbow house.

Case 2 $d_{C_5}(w_6) = 3, d_{C_5}(w_7) = 2$

Then $w_6 w_7 \in E(F)$. We have $N_{C_5}(w_6) = \{w_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}\}$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq 5$ and $N_{C_5}(w_7) = \{w_j, w_{j+1}\}$ or $N_{C_5}(w_7) = \{w_j, w_{j+2}\}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq 5$. Now in all possible combinations we find a rainbow house. □

$\mathbf{rb(K_8, H) = 14}$

Proof: Let the edges of K_8 be coloured with 14 colours and let F be a rainbow subgraph of K_8 with 14 edges. If $|S(v)| \leq 3$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then G has a rainbow house by induction. Hence we may assume that $|S(v)| \geq 4$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. Consider the C_5 as above. Since five colours are used for the edges of $G[V(C_5)]$, every vertex of this C_5 is incident to at least two more edges in F. Then $14 = |E(F)| \geq 5 + 2 \cdot 5 = 15$, a contradiction. □

All computed rainbow numbers for the graphs $D, H, K_{2,3}$ are listed in the following table.

n	$rb(K_n, D)$	$rb(K_n, H)$	$rb(K_n, K_{2,3})$
5	7	8	8
6	8	9	10
7	10	11	12
8	12	14	14
9	14		
10	17		

Acknowledgement: We thank Maria Axenovich and Zsolt Tuza for drawing our attention to rainbow numbers for bipartite graphs and Jana Neupauerova for some stimulating discussions on the computation of the rainbow numbers for the bull.

References

[1] M. Axenovich and T. Jiang, *Anti-Ramsey numbers for small complete bipartite graphs*, Ars Combinatoria 73 (2004) 311-318.

[2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York, 1976.

[3] P. Erdős, *Graph theory and probability*, Canadian Journal of Math. 11 (1959) 34-38.

[4] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits and V. T. Sós, *Anti-Ramsey theorems*, Infinite and finite sets, Vol. II, edited by A. Hajnal, R. Rado, and V. T. Sós, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 10 (1975) 633-643.

[5] S. Fujita, A. Kaneko, I. Schiermeyer, and K. Suzuki, *A Rainbow k-Matching in the Complete Graph with r Colors*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 16, (2009), # R51.

[6] I. Gorgol, *Rainbow Numbers for Cycles with Pendant Edges*, Graphs and Combinatorics 24 (2008) 327-331.

[7] I. Gorgol and E. Lazuka, *Rainbow numbers for small stars with one edge added*, Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory 30(4) (2010) 555-562.

[8] D.K. Garnick, Y.H.H. Kwong, and F. Lazebnik, *Extremal Graphs Without Three-Cycles or Four-Cycles*, Journal of Graph Theory 17 (1993) 633-645.

[9] J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros, *An Anti-Ramsey Theorem on Diamonds*, Graphs and Combinatorics 26 (2010) 283-291.

[10] J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros and V. Neumann-Lara, *An anti-Ramsey theorem*, Combinatorica 22 (2002), no. 3, 445-449.

[11] J. J. Montellano-Ballesteros and V. Neumann-Lara, *An Anti-Ramsey Theorem on Cycles*, Graphs and Combinatorics 21 (3) (2005) 343-354.

[12] I. Schiermeyer, *Rainbow Numbers for Matchings and Complete Graphs*, Discrete Mathematics 286 (2004) 157-162.

[13] P. Turán, *Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok 48 (1941) 436-452.

7 Appendix

$\mathbf{rb(K_8, K_{2,3}) = 14}$

Proof: Consider two vertex disjoint $K_4's$, colour all six edges of one of them with colours $1, 2, \dots, 6$ and all six edges of the other one with colours $7, 8, \dots, 12$. All edges between the two copies are coloured with colour 13. Then G has no rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence $rb(K_8, K_{2,3}) \geq 14$.

Let the edges of K_8 be coloured with 14 colours and let F be a rainbow subgraph of K_8 with 14 edges. If $|S(v)| \leq 2$ for a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then G has a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ by induction. Hence we may assume that $|S(v)| \geq 3$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. Since $rb(K_8, D) = 12$ we may choose F such that it contains a rainbow D. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1 G contains a rainbow K_4

Let F be a rainbow subgraph containing a K_4 with vertices $R = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ and let $T = \{w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8\}$ be the other four vertices. If $|N_F(v) \cap R| \geq 2$ for a vertex $v \in T$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that $|N_F(v) \cap R| \leq 1$ for every vertex $v \in T$. With $|S(v)| \geq 3$ we conclude that $d_T(w_i) \geq 2$ for $5 \leq i \leq 8$. Hence $F[T]$ contains a C_4 implying that $F[T]$ is isomorphic to C_4 or D or K_4. Now observe that in each of these three possible cases we can find a cycle, say $w_5w_6w_7w_8w_5$, such that $|N_R(w_5)| = |N_R(w_7)| = 1$.

If $w_iw_5, w_iw_7 \in E(F)$ for a vertex $w_i, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that $w_iw_5, w_jw_7 \in E(F)$ for two vertices w_i, w_j with $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$, say $i = 1, j = 2$. Consider the graph $F + w_1w_7$. In this graph $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_7\}$ and $\{w_5, w_7, w_1, w_6, w_8\}$ generate a $K_{2,3}$, where at least one of them is rainbow.

Case 2 G contains a rainbow D but no rainbow K_4

Let F be a rainbow subgraph containing a D with vertices $R = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ and let $T = \{w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8\}$ be the other four vertices. If $|N_F(w_i) \cap R| \geq 3$ for a vertex $w_i, 5 \leq i \leq 8$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. If $|N_F(w_i) \cap R| = 2$ for a vertex $w_i, 5 \leq i \leq 8$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ or a triangulated C_5, which is rainbow. We will use the abbreviation TC_5 for a triangulated C_5. Finally, if $|N_F(w_i) \cap R| = 1$ for $5 \leq i \leq 8$ and $F[T] \cong D$, then the four edges between R and T form a perfect matching (otherwise we can find a rainbow $K_{2,3}$ or TC_5 using previous arguments). We may assume that $w_iw_{i+4} \in E(F)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Consider the graph $F + w_1w_6$. Then $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_6\}$ and $\{w_1, w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8\}$ generate a subgraph with five vertices and seven edges, where at least one of them is rainbow.

This rainbow subgraph is either isomorphic to TC_5 or contains a (rainbow) $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that F contains a rainbow TC_5 with vertices $R = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}$ and edges $\{w_1w_2, w_2w_3, w_3w_4, w_4w_5, w_5w_1, w_1w_3, w_1w_4\}$. Furthermore, let $c(w_1w_2) = 1, (w_2w_3) = 2, (w_3w_4) = 3, (w_4w_5) = 4, (w_5w_1) = 5, (w_1w_3) = 6, (w_1w_4) = 7$. Let $x = w_2w_5, y = w_2w_4, z = w_3w_5$.

If $c(x) \in \{1, 5, 6, 7\}$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. So we may assume $c(x) \in \{2, 3, 4\}$.

If $c(y) = 4, 5$ then there is a rainbow K_4^+, where K_4^+ denotes a K_4 with a pendant edge. If $c(y) = 2, 7$ then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that $c(y) \in \{1, 3, 6\}$ and by symmetry $c(z) \in \{3, 5, 7\}$. If $c(y) = 6, c(z) = 7$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. So we may assume $c(y) \neq 6$ or $c(z) \neq 7$ and we distinguish two cases.

Subcase 2.1 $c(y) = 6, c(z) \neq 7$ (the case $c(y) \neq 6, c(z) = 7$ is symmetric)
Since there is no rainbow K_4 we deduce $c(x) = 4$ and so $c(z) \neq 3$ implying $c(z) = 5$.
Subcase 2.2 $c(y) \neq 6, c(z) \neq 7$
If $c(y) = 1, c(z) = 5$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. So assume that $c(y) \neq 1$ implying $c(y) = 3$. If $c(x) = 4$ then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. If $c(x) = 2$ then there is a rainbow K_4^+. So we deduce that $c(x) = 3$. Now if $c(z) = 5$ then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that $c(z) = 3$.

Now for both subcases let $T = \{w_6, w_7, w_8\}$ be the three remaining vertices. Since $|E(F)| = 14$ there is a vertex $w_i, 6 \leq i \leq 8$, with $|N_R(w_i)| \geq 2$. Let $u, w \in N_R(w_i)$. In Subcase 2.1 every pair of vertices w_i, w_j for $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$ is contained in a rainbow 4-cycle with all its vertices from $\{w_1, \ldots, w_5\}$ such that w_i, w_j are not adjacent in this cycle. This leads to a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. In Subcase 2.2, if $\{u, w\} \neq \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_4, w_5\}$, then there is a rainbow $K_{2,3}$. Hence we may assume that $w_2w_6, w_3w_6 \in E(F)$. Now observe that the vertices w_1 and w_3 are both the center (vertex of degree 4) of a TC_5. A repetition of the arguments above gives $c(w_2w_4) = 3$ and $c(w_2w_4) = 1$, a contradiction. □